Justicia Insight
Vol. 2 No. 1, November 2025, pp. 1-8
E-ISSN 3089-4115

JUSTIN Justicia Insight

Foreign Investment and Its Legal Impact on Local Resources and the Environment in Tourist Destinations

Rudi Islam[1*], Abdillah Fikri[2]
[1] *Department of Law, University of Muhammadiyah Mataram, Indonesia*
[2] *Department of Law, Universiti Malaya, Malaysia*
[*] Corresponding author: rudigulermo@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: 18 August, 2025
Revised: 22 August, 2025
Accepted: 15 November, 2025
Published: 30 November, 2025

Keywords
Foreign investment
Legal impact
Local resources
Environment
Tourist destination

ABSTRACT

Foreign investment plays a crucial role in the economic growth of tourist destinations by stimulating infrastructure development, generating employment, and promoting regional competitiveness. However, the influx of foreign capital often raises complex legal issues related to the management of local resources and environmental sustainability. This article examines the legal implications of foreign investment on natural resource utilization and environmental protection within tourist areas. Using a normative legal research approach supported by case studies in selected tourist destinations, the study highlights how legal frameworks regulate foreign investors' activities, particularly concerning land use, water management, and waste disposal. Findings indicate that while foreign investment contributes to economic advancement, weak enforcement of environmental regulations and lack of community involvement often lead to overexploitation of resources and ecological degradation. The study suggests strengthening environmental law enforcement, enhancing local community participation, and harmonizing investment regulations with sustainable development principles. This research provides valuable insights for policymakers in balancing the dual objectives of economic growth and environmental protection in tourism-based regions.

How to cite: Islam, R., & Fikri, A. (2025). Foreign Investment and Its Legal Impact on Local Resources and the Environment in Tourist Destinations. *Justicia Insight, 2*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.70716/justin.v2i1.262

INTRODUCTION

Tourism has become one of the most significant contributors to global economic development, accounting for a substantial share of gross domestic product (GDP) in many countries. According to the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO, 2022), international tourist arrivals have consistently increased over the past decades, with destinations in developing countries experiencing remarkable growth. This expansion has been accompanied by increasing flows of foreign direct investment (FDI), which has financed the construction of hotels, resorts, transport infrastructure, and recreational facilities. FDI is frequently regarded as a key driver of modernization and competitiveness in the tourism industry because it provides access to capital, managerial expertise, and global networks (Dunning & Lundan, 2008). In many tourist destinations, foreign investment is seen as indispensable for stimulating economic development, reducing unemployment, and improving local livelihoods.

Despite these economic benefits, foreign investment in tourism often raises complex legal and environmental challenges. The entry of foreign investors typically intersects with issues of land acquisition, resource extraction, and environmental degradation, which can generate conflicts between investors, governments, and local communities (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018). For example, the construction of large-scale resorts frequently requires the conversion of agricultural land or coastal ecosystems, raising questions about property rights, legal entitlements, and compensation mechanisms. Moreover, the use of natural resources such as water, forests, and marine areas often leads to tension between investors seeking to maximize profits and communities seeking to preserve their livelihoods. These conflicts highlight the need for strong legal frameworks that balance economic growth with social and environmental sustainability (Schill, 2010).

Legal frameworks governing foreign investment are generally designed to create a favorable investment climate by offering legal certainty, protection of property rights, and dispute resolution mechanisms (Sornarajah, 2017). At the same time, environmental laws are intended to regulate the use of natural resources, control pollution, and ensure ecological sustainability (Dupuy & Viñuales, 2018). However, in many developing countries, these two sets of legal regimes are often poorly integrated. Investment laws may prioritize investor protection and economic development, while environmental regulations are weakly enforced or subordinated to growth objectives. This lack of coherence can undermine sustainable development efforts, especially in ecologically sensitive tourist destinations.

The interaction between investment law and environmental law becomes even more complex in the context of international agreements. Bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and free trade agreements often provide foreign investors with broad protections, including the right to fair and equitable treatment, protection against expropriation, and access to international arbitration (Schill, 2010). These provisions can sometimes constrain host governments from enforcing environmental regulations, as stricter laws may be challenged as indirect expropriation or unfair treatment (Tienhaara, 2011). This legal tension illustrates the challenge of reconciling the imperatives of economic liberalization with the obligations of environmental stewardship.

Tourist destinations are particularly vulnerable to the adverse impacts of unregulated foreign investment. The rapid growth of tourism infrastructure can lead to the overexploitation of local resources. For instance, resorts often consume disproportionately large amounts of freshwater, leaving local communities with limited access (Gössling, 2002). Similarly, the expansion of coastal tourism can disrupt marine ecosystems, contributing to coral reef degradation and loss of biodiversity. In addition, poorly managed tourism activities generate significant waste and pollution, placing additional burdens on already fragile ecosystems (Holden, 2016). These environmental consequences not only threaten ecological sustainability but also undermine the long-term viability of the tourism industry itself, which depends on the preservation of natural attractions.

Another critical dimension of foreign investment in tourism is its impact on local communities. While foreign investment can create jobs and stimulate economic growth, the benefits are often unevenly distributed. Local populations may face displacement, loss of access to land and resources, and cultural marginalization as a result of large-scale investment projects (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018). In many cases, communities are excluded from decision-making processes related to foreign investment, leaving them with little influence over how their resources are managed or how the benefits are shared. This exclusion raises important questions of social justice and human rights, highlighting the need for inclusive legal frameworks that recognize community interests and ensure equitable benefit-sharing.

International legal frameworks also play a role in shaping the impact of foreign investment on the environment. The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) established the principle of sustainable development, emphasizing that economic growth must be pursued in harmony with environmental protection. Similarly, the Paris Agreement (2015) underscores the responsibility of states to integrate climate change considerations into development policies. However, the implementation of these international commitments at the national level remains inconsistent, particularly in developing countries with limited institutional capacity (Boyle & Anderson, 2021). Ensuring compliance with these frameworks requires strong domestic laws, effective enforcement mechanisms, and political will.

One of the recurring challenges in managing foreign investment in tourism is the weak enforcement of environmental laws. In many developing countries, regulatory agencies lack the resources, expertise, or independence to monitor and enforce compliance effectively (Holden, 2016). Corruption and political interference further undermine the credibility of environmental governance, allowing investors to bypass regulations through informal arrangements. The result is often unchecked exploitation of natural resources, with devastating consequences for local ecosystems and communities. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms, enhancing transparency, and promoting accountability are therefore essential for ensuring that foreign investment contributes to sustainable outcomes.

The issue of legal pluralism also complicates the governance of foreign investment in tourist destinations. In many regions, customary laws and traditional practices coexist with formal legal systems, particularly in relation to land and natural resource management (Fitzpatrick, 2006). Foreign investors may find themselves operating within complex legal landscapes where local customs and traditions are not fully recognized by national laws. Failure to respect these local norms can generate conflicts, undermine social cohesion, and erode community trust in both the state and investors. Integrating customary practices into formal legal frameworks may help bridge the gap between global investment norms and local realities.

Furthermore, the legal impact of foreign investment must be assessed not only in terms of environmental protection but also in relation to broader development goals. The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a useful framework for evaluating the contribution of foreign investment to social, economic, and environmental outcomes. Investments in tourism should ideally support SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 15 (Life on Land). Achieving these objectives requires coordinated action among governments, investors, and communities to align investment policies with sustainable development principles (United Nations, 2015).

Recent scholarship emphasizes the importance of community participation in decision-making processes related to foreign investment (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018). Participatory approaches not only enhance the legitimacy of investment projects but also improve their long-term sustainability by ensuring that local knowledge and interests are taken into account. Legal frameworks must therefore establish mechanisms for meaningful consultation, community consent, and equitable benefit-sharing. Without such safeguards, foreign investment risks exacerbating inequalities and fueling social unrest, thereby undermining its developmental potential.

At the same time, innovative legal instruments are emerging to address the environmental and social impacts of foreign investment. For example, environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and strategic environmental assessments (SEAs) have become widely recognized tools for evaluating the sustainability of investment projects (Morgan, 2012). Many countries now require EIAs as a precondition for investment approval, although the quality and effectiveness of these assessments vary significantly. Strengthening the legal basis for EIAs, ensuring public participation, and enhancing monitoring and follow-up mechanisms are critical for improving environmental governance in tourist destinations.

Another promising development is the incorporation of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards into investment practices. Increasingly, foreign investors are expected to adhere to international sustainability standards, such as the Equator Principles or the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (Ruggie, 2013). While these standards are often voluntary, they can complement formal legal frameworks by encouraging investors to adopt responsible practices. Embedding such standards into national laws and investment agreements could strengthen accountability and promote more sustainable investment outcomes.

Ultimately, the challenge of managing foreign investment in tourist destinations lies in achieving a balance between economic growth, environmental protection, and social justice. Legal frameworks must provide clear rules, effective enforcement mechanisms, and opportunities for community participation. Policymakers must also ensure that investment policies are aligned with sustainable development principles and international environmental commitments. By doing so, foreign investment can become a positive force for development rather than a source of environmental degradation and social conflict.

In light of these challenges and opportunities, this article seeks to analyze the legal implications of foreign investment on local resources and the environment in tourist destinations. Through a normative legal research approach supported by case studies, the study examines how investment law, environmental regulations, and governance mechanisms interact in shaping investment outcomes. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for policymakers, legal practitioners, and scholars seeking to harmonize foreign investment with sustainable development. Ultimately, the study underscores the necessity of developing legal frameworks that promote responsible investment practices, protect local communities, and safeguard environmental resources for future generations.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a normative legal research approach (penelitian hukum normatif), which focuses on examining legal principles, statutory provisions, international agreements, and judicial decisions relevant to foreign investment, environmental protection, and the governance of local resources. Normative legal research is particularly appropriate because the central concern of this study lies in analyzing how legal frameworks regulate and respond to the environmental and social impacts of foreign investment in tourist destinations (Marzuki, 2017). Unlike empirical legal research, which prioritizes observation of social phenomena, normative research emphasizes a doctrinal approach that systematically reviews the law as it is written (law in books) and as it should be interpreted (law in principle).

The data for this study consist primarily of secondary legal materials, including international conventions (such as the Rio Declaration, Paris Agreement, and bilateral investment treaties), national legislation on foreign investment and environmental protection, and case law from both domestic and international tribunals. Secondary sources also encompass academic journals, scholarly books, and reports from international organizations such as the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). These sources were selected because they provide a comprehensive understanding of the normative and practical intersections between investment law and environmental law (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

The research method involves statute approach, conceptual approach, and case study approach. The statute approach examines statutory provisions and regulatory frameworks governing foreign investment and environmental protection, while the conceptual approach explores legal doctrines, principles, and theories—such as sustainable development, environmental justice, and investment protection—that inform the interpretation of laws (Soekanto & Mamudji, 2001). The case study approach is employed to highlight specific instances where foreign investment projects in tourist destinations have led to legal disputes or conflicts over resource management. These cases are used to illustrate the gaps and challenges in existing legal frameworks and enforcement practices.

The analysis was conducted through qualitative legal interpretation, using methods of legal reasoning such as systematic interpretation, historical interpretation, and teleological interpretation (Friedman, 2011). Systematic interpretation ensures that foreign investment regulations are analyzed in relation to environmental and natural resource laws, while teleological interpretation considers the broader purpose of the law, such as promoting sustainable development and protecting local communities. Historical interpretation is used to understand the evolution of investment treaties and environmental obligations in shaping contemporary legal frameworks.

The research also applies a comparative legal analysis, contrasting the regulatory approaches of selected developing countries that host significant foreign investment in tourist destinations. By comparing jurisdictions, this study identifies similarities, differences, and best practices in harmonizing foreign investment with environmental protection. Comparative analysis allows the research to situate national legal frameworks within the broader context of international law and sustainable development goals (Zweigert & Kötz, 1998).

To ensure reliability and validity, the research employs a process of triangulation of legal sources, whereby statutory provisions are cross-examined with case law, international agreements, and scholarly opinions. This approach strengthens the credibility of the findings and reduces the risk of bias from relying on a single type of legal material. Moreover, by integrating normative legal analysis with case-based evidence, the study achieves a balance between theoretical exploration and practical applicability (Neuman, 2014).

In sum, the methodology adopted in this study allows for a rigorous and systematic examination of the legal implications of foreign investment on local resources and the environment in tourist destinations. It combines doctrinal legal analysis with case studies and comparative perspectives, thereby providing both theoretical insights and practical recommendations for policymakers, legal practitioners, and stakeholders in the tourism sector.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Foreign investment in tourist destinations has yielded significant economic contributions, particularly in terms of infrastructure development, employment creation, and increased government revenues. For many developing countries, reliance on foreign capital has accelerated the modernization of tourism facilities, enabling destinations to compete in the global tourism market (Dunning & Lundan, 2008). In regions such as Southeast Asia and the Caribbean, foreign investors have financed large-scale resorts, luxury hotels, and transportation infrastructure, which have transformed local economies and attracted millions of international visitors (UNWTO, 2022). Nevertheless, these benefits come at a cost, as foreign investment projects often exert considerable pressure on local resources and fragile ecosystems.

The findings of this study suggest that the legal impact of foreign investment on natural resources is twofold. On one hand, investment laws provide mechanisms for facilitating capital inflows and ensuring investor rights. On the other hand, weak enforcement of environmental regulations has often led to overexploitation of resources. For example, in Bali, Indonesia, the proliferation of resort development has increased water consumption beyond the carrying capacity of local aquifers, resulting in shortages for local communities (Cole, 2012). Similar patterns have been documented in Thailand and the Maldives, where foreign-owned resorts monopolize coastal resources at the expense of traditional fishing and community needs (Gössling, 2002). These cases highlight how gaps in regulatory enforcement contribute to resource inequality.

Another important finding relates to the conflict between international investment law and environmental law. Many bilateral investment treaties (BITs) prioritize investor protection, granting investors broad rights to challenge government regulations that negatively affect their profits. This legal structure often creates a “regulatory chill,” discouraging governments from enforcing stricter environmental standards out of fear of costly arbitration claims (Tienhaara, 2011). For example, disputes arising under the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) have shown how foreign investors invoke BIT provisions to challenge environmental regulations that restrict land use or resource extraction (Schill, 2010). Such cases illustrate how the current investment regime can undermine the sovereignty of states in managing their environment.

The research also indicates that tourism development projects backed by foreign capital frequently generate social tensions. Communities in tourist destinations are often displaced from their lands or denied access to resources that were once essential to their livelihoods (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018). The conversion of agricultural land for resort construction, for instance, can deprive local populations of food security and cultural heritage. These social impacts demonstrate the importance of integrating community rights into legal frameworks governing foreign investment. Without community consultation and equitable benefit-sharing, foreign investment risks deepening social inequalities rather than promoting inclusive development.

From an environmental perspective, the study reveals that ecosystem degradation is a recurring consequence of poorly regulated foreign investment. Coastal tourism development has been linked to coral reef destruction, mangrove deforestation, and biodiversity loss in several popular destinations (Holden, 2016). For instance, studies in the Caribbean show that unregulated resort construction has accelerated shoreline erosion and habitat destruction (Patterson et al., 2004). Similarly, mountain tourism in Nepal and the Alps has generated significant waste management challenges, with fragile ecosystems bearing the brunt of tourism-driven pollution (Nepal, 2000). These environmental impacts call for stronger integration of environmental impact assessments (EIAs) into legal frameworks.

Legal frameworks in many developing countries, however, remain insufficient in addressing these challenges. While most states have enacted investment and environmental laws, the lack of institutional capacity to enforce them undermines their effectiveness. Corruption, lack of political will, and inadequate monitoring mechanisms often allow investors to bypass environmental obligations (Holden, 2016). For example, in parts of Southeast Asia, environmental permits for tourism projects are frequently granted despite evidence of ecological risks, reflecting a prioritization of economic growth over environmental protection (Dupuy & Viñuales, 2018). This gap between law and practice suggests that enforcement mechanisms must be significantly strengthened.

Another key discussion point concerns the role of customary laws and local governance systems in regulating resource use in tourist destinations. In many societies, customary tenure systems play a crucial role in managing land and natural resources (Fitzpatrick, 2006). However, foreign investors often disregard these systems, relying instead on national laws that fail to recognize community rights. This creates legal pluralism, where conflicts between customary and statutory law undermine the legitimacy of investment projects. Integrating customary norms into formal legal systems could enhance social legitimacy and strengthen environmental stewardship at the local level.

The study also finds that international environmental agreements provide a normative basis for regulating foreign investment, but their domestic implementation is inconsistent. The Rio Declaration

(1992) introduced the principle of sustainable development, while the Paris Agreement (2015) emphasized climate considerations in national policies. Yet, in practice, the translation of these commitments into enforceable domestic laws remains weak (Boyle & Anderson, 2021). Many developing countries face challenges in aligning their investment policies with environmental obligations, partly due to limited financial and technical resources. This underscores the need for international support mechanisms to strengthen domestic environmental governance.

Another area of discussion is the growing importance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards. Increasingly, foreign investors in the tourism sector are expected to adopt sustainability measures that go beyond legal requirements (Ruggie, 2013). For example, some multinational hotel chains have introduced water conservation programs, renewable energy projects, and waste reduction strategies to align with global sustainability standards. While these initiatives are commendable, they remain largely voluntary and unevenly applied. Embedding CSR and ESG obligations into legal frameworks could enhance accountability and ensure consistent compliance across all investors.

The study further highlights that community participation is central to ensuring sustainable outcomes. Legal frameworks that mandate public consultation, prior informed consent, and benefit-sharing mechanisms can help bridge the gap between foreign investors and local communities (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018). Successful examples can be seen in Costa Rica, where community-based tourism models supported by law have enabled local populations to share in tourism revenues while preserving ecosystems (Weaver, 2001). By contrast, in regions where community voices are excluded, resistance and conflict often emerge, leading to long-term instability in investment projects.

A critical observation from the research is that foreign investment can be aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) if governed by robust legal frameworks. Investment in tourism should ideally support goals such as SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 15 (Life on Land) (United Nations, 2015). Achieving this alignment requires harmonizing investment laws with environmental and social protection standards. Governments must ensure that foreign capital not only drives economic growth but also contributes to poverty reduction, ecological conservation, and cultural preservation.

Comparative analysis indicates that some jurisdictions have successfully balanced foreign investment with environmental protection through innovative legal frameworks. For example, Bhutan has implemented strict environmental laws that limit tourist numbers and require high-value, low-volume tourism policies (Dorji, 2001). Similarly, New Zealand integrates the principle of kaitiakitanga (guardianship) into its environmental governance, ensuring that tourism development respects indigenous Maori values and natural resources (Carr, 2004). These examples demonstrate that legal frameworks tailored to local contexts can effectively regulate foreign investment and promote sustainability.

Nevertheless, challenges remain in reconciling the tensions between global investment norms and local sustainability priorities. While globalization encourages capital mobility and investor protections, sustainable development requires local adaptation and community participation. Bridging this gap demands legal reforms that incorporate principles of environmental justice, intergenerational equity, and human rights into investment frameworks (Boyle & Anderson, 2021). Without such reforms, foreign investment risks perpetuating cycles of ecological degradation and social inequality.

Overall, the results underscore that foreign investment is a double-edged sword for tourist destinations. While it provides much-needed capital and development opportunities, it also poses significant risks to local resources and ecosystems when poorly regulated. Effective legal frameworks—anchored in international standards, strengthened by enforcement mechanisms, and informed by local participation—are essential for ensuring that foreign investment contributes positively to sustainable development.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the complex relationship between foreign investment, local resource management, and environmental sustainability in tourist destinations through a normative legal analysis supported by case studies and comparative perspectives. The findings demonstrate that while foreign investment plays an essential role in driving economic growth, modernizing infrastructure, and enhancing global competitiveness of tourist destinations, it simultaneously poses significant risks to local resources, ecosystems, and communities when inadequately regulated.

The analysis reveals that the legal impact of foreign investment is characterized by a structural imbalance between investment protection and environmental regulation. International investment treaties, designed to safeguard foreign investors, often limit the regulatory autonomy of host states in enforcing environmental standards. This imbalance can create a "regulatory chill," discouraging governments from implementing stricter environmental measures for fear of arbitration claims. In turn, weak or inconsistent enforcement of environmental laws at the national level exacerbates the overexploitation of water, land, and biodiversity in tourism-dependent regions.

The study also highlights that the social impacts of foreign investment are significant, with many communities experiencing displacement, reduced access to resources, and marginalization in decision-making processes. This exclusion undermines principles of social justice and equitable development, suggesting that legal frameworks must do more to recognize and protect community rights. Integrating mechanisms of public consultation, prior informed consent, and equitable benefit-sharing into investment governance can mitigate social tensions and promote inclusivity.

From an environmental perspective, the research underscores that ecosystem degradation is a recurring outcome of poorly managed tourism investment. The destruction of coral reefs, deforestation of coastal areas, and unsustainable water consumption demonstrate that without effective environmental impact assessments and monitoring systems, the ecological foundations of tourism itself are endangered. Strengthening legal requirements for environmental assessments and ensuring transparent enforcement are therefore crucial to safeguarding natural resources.

Comparative examples such as Bhutan's "high-value, low-volume" tourism policy and New Zealand's integration of indigenous stewardship principles demonstrate that it is possible to align foreign investment with environmental protection through innovative and context-specific legal frameworks. These models suggest that host states should not simply import global investment norms but adapt them to local ecological, cultural, and social realities.

Ultimately, the study concludes that foreign investment in tourist destinations must be governed by legal frameworks that balance economic growth with sustainability and justice. Policymakers must harmonize investment laws with environmental regulations and international commitments such as the Rio Declaration, Paris Agreement, and Sustainable Development Goals. Legal reforms should prioritize community participation, strengthen enforcement mechanisms, and embed sustainability standards into both national laws and international investment agreements.

By addressing these challenges, foreign investment can move beyond being a source of ecological strain and social inequality to become a driver of sustainable development. For host countries, the task is not to reject foreign investment but to regulate it effectively through legal instruments that safeguard the interests of both present and future generations. For foreign investors, the challenge is to embrace corporate social responsibility and environmental stewardship as integral components of long-term profitability and legitimacy. For communities, the opportunity lies in greater involvement in decision-making processes that affect their resources and livelihoods.

In conclusion, the future of sustainable tourism development depends on building coherent and enforceable legal frameworks that integrate economic, environmental, and social dimensions. Only through such legal innovation can foreign investment contribute positively to the prosperity of tourist destinations while preserving the natural and cultural resources upon which tourism ultimately depends.

REFERENCES

Boyle, A., & Anderson, M. (2021). *Human rights approaches to environmental protection*. Oxford University Press.

Carr, A. (2004). Mountain places, cultural spaces: The interpretation of culturally significant landscapes. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 12(5), 432–459.

Cole, S. (2012). A political ecology of water equity and tourism: A case study from Bali. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(2), 1221–1241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2012.01.003

Cottrell, S. P., & Cutumisu, N. (2006). Sustainable tourism criteria and destination indicators: Toward a certification for sustainable tourism. *Tourism Review International*, 10(2), 219–233.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.)*. Sage Publications.

Dorji, T. (2001). Sustainability of tourism in Bhutan. *Journal of Bhutan Studies*, 3(1), 84–104.

Dunning, J. H., & Lundan, S. M. (2008). *Multinational enterprises and the global economy*. Edward Elgar.

Dupuy, P. M., & Viñuales, J. E. (2018). *International environmental law*. Cambridge University Press.

Fitzpatrick, D. (2006). Evolution and chaos in property rights systems: The Third World tragedy of contested access. *Yale Law Journal*, 115(5), 996–1048.

Friedman, L. M. (2011). *Legal culture and social development.* Stanford University Press.

Gössling, S. (2002). Global environmental consequences of tourism. *Global Environmental Change*, 12(4), 283–302.

Holden, A. (2016). *Environment and tourism (3rd ed.).* Routledge.

Marzuki, P. M. (2017). *Penelitian hukum*. Kencana.

Morgan, R. K. (2012). Environmental impact assessment: The state of the art. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 30(1), 5–14.

Nepal, S. K. (2000). Tourism in protected areas: The Nepalese Himalaya. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 27(3), 661–681.

Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches (7th ed.).* Pearson.

Patterson, T., Gulden, T., Cousins, K., & Kraev, E. (2004). Integrating environmental, social and economic systems: A dynamic model of tourism in the Bahamas. *Ecological Modelling*, 175(2), 121–136.

Ruggie, J. G. (2013). *Just business: Multinational corporations and human rights.* W. W. Norton & Company.

Scheyvens, R., & Biddulph, R. (2018). Inclusive tourism development. *Tourism Geographies*, 20(4), 589–609.

Schill, S. W. (2010). *International investment law and comparative public law*. Oxford University Press.

Soekanto, S., & Mamudji, S. (2001). *Penelitian hukum normatif: Suatu tinjauan singkat*. Raja Grafindo Persada.

Sornarajah, M. (2017). *The international law on foreign investment (4th ed.).* Cambridge University Press.

Tienhaara, K. (2011). *Regulatory chill and the threat of arbitration: A view from political science. In C. L. Lim (Ed.), Alternative visions of the international law on foreign investment (pp. 606–628*). Cambridge University Press.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations.

United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO). (2022). *Tourism statistics: Annual report 2022*. UNWTO.

Weaver, D. B. (2001). Ecotourism as mass tourism: Contradiction or reality?. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 42(2), 104–112.

Zweigert, K., & Kötz, H. (1998). *An introduction to comparative law (3rd ed.).* Oxford University Press.