


Holistic Approach in Inclusive Education: It's Effectiveness in Addressing the Diversity of Elementary School Students' Characteristics

Wahyu Nurul Hidayah^{1*}, Sofyan Hadi², Badrus Al Amin³
¹ Department of English Education, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia
² Department of Science Education, Sunway University, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia
³ Department of Science Education, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei Darussalam
¹ whynurul@gmail.com*; ² sofyanhadi@gmail.com; ³ badrusalamin@gmail.com
* Corresponding author:

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<p>Article history</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Received: October 20, 2024 Revised: October 24, 2024 Accepted: February 16, 2025 Published: February 22, 2025</p> <p>Keywords</p> <p>Holistic Approach Inclusive Education Elementary School Diversity</p> <p> License by CC-BY-SA Copyright © 2025, The Author(s).</p>	<p>Inclusive education aims to provide equitable learning opportunities for all students, regardless of their diverse characteristics, including abilities, backgrounds, and needs. This study explores the effectiveness of a holistic approach in inclusive education, emphasizing strategies that address the multifaceted nature of elementary school students' diversity. By integrating academic, social, emotional, and cultural dimensions into teaching practices, the holistic approach seeks to foster a supportive and adaptable learning environment. The research evaluates key elements such as differentiated instruction, collaborative teaching, and student-centered methodologies, highlighting their role in enhancing inclusivity and promoting positive outcomes for learners. Findings reveal that a holistic approach significantly contributes to addressing the varied characteristics of students, improving engagement, academic achievement, and social integration. This study underscores the importance of adopting comprehensive and flexible strategies to create inclusive classrooms that cater to the unique needs of every child.</p>
<p>How to cite: Hidayah, W. N., Hadi, S., & Amin, B. A. (2025). Holistic Approach in Inclusive Education: It's Effectiveness in Addressing the Diversity of Elementary School Students' Characteristics. <i>Journal of Education and Social Science</i>, 1(2), 38-42. doi: https://doi.org/10.70716/jees.v1i2.106</p>	

INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education has emerged as a central theme in global educational discourse, driven by the need to provide equitable learning opportunities for students from diverse backgrounds and abilities. This concept underscores the importance of accommodating and celebrating diversity within the classroom, ensuring that all learners, regardless of their abilities, socio-economic status, cultural backgrounds, or specific needs, have access to a high-quality education (UNESCO, 2020; Ainscow, Booth, & Dyson, 2006). Unlike traditional segregated educational models, inclusive education seeks to dismantle barriers to learning and participation by fostering environments where diversity is viewed as a strength rather than a limitation (Slee, 2018). Such a perspective aligns with the broader goals of equity and social justice in education, advocating for a paradigm that supports the holistic development of every learner (Booth & Ainscow, 2011).

The shift towards inclusive education has prompted a re-evaluation of teaching methodologies, emphasizing the need for strategies that address the multifaceted nature of students' characteristics. Among these approaches, the holistic framework has gained prominence for its ability to integrate academic, social, emotional, and cultural dimensions into the learning process (Mitchell, 2014; Loreman, 2017). This approach recognizes that students are not merely academic entities but individuals whose personal, social, and emotional contexts significantly impact their ability to learn and thrive (Jordan, Schwartz, & McGhie-Richmond, 2009). Particularly in elementary education, where children's foundational skills, attitudes, and perceptions are developed, the application of holistic principles can have profound and long-lasting effects on their educational trajectories (Forlin, 2012).

Central to the holistic approach is the concept of differentiated instruction, which aims to tailor teaching strategies to meet the unique needs of each student. Differentiated instruction involves adapting content, process, and assessment methods to accommodate variations in students' readiness levels, interests, and learning profiles (Tomlinson, 2017; Westwood, 2018). For instance, a classroom that includes students with varying cognitive abilities might incorporate visual aids, hands-on activities, and collaborative projects to ensure that all learners can engage meaningfully with the material. This approach not only enhances academic achievement but also fosters a sense of inclusivity and belonging, as students feel that their individual needs and strengths are acknowledged and valued (Hornby, 2015).

In addition to differentiated instruction, collaborative teaching practices play a crucial role in implementing the holistic approach within inclusive classrooms. Collaborative teaching involves partnerships between general education and special education teachers, as well as other support staff, to design and deliver instruction that meets the needs of all students (Friend et al., 2010). By pooling their expertise, teachers can create more effective and adaptable learning environments that address the diverse needs of their students. Research indicates that co-teaching models, where two or more educators share responsibility for planning, instructing, and assessing a group of students, can lead to improved academic outcomes and greater social integration for learners with special needs (Waitoller & Artiles, 2013). These practices exemplify the value of teamwork and shared responsibility in fostering inclusive education (Ekins, 2016).

Another critical element of the holistic approach is the emphasis on social and emotional learning (SEL). SEL focuses on developing students' skills in areas such as self-awareness, emotional regulation, interpersonal communication, and empathy. Studies have shown that SEL not only enhances students' emotional well-being but also contributes to improved academic performance and positive classroom behavior (Durlak et al., 2011; OECD, 2019). In inclusive settings, SEL is particularly important for promoting positive interactions among students with diverse backgrounds and abilities. By fostering a supportive and respectful classroom climate, teachers can help students develop the social skills needed to navigate differences and build meaningful relationships with their peers (Sharma & Sokal, 2016).

Cultural inclusivity is another cornerstone of the holistic approach in inclusive education. In an increasingly globalized world, classrooms are becoming more multicultural, comprising students from various ethnic, linguistic, and cultural backgrounds. Embracing this diversity through culturally responsive teaching practices can enhance students' engagement and academic success. Culturally responsive teaching involves recognizing and valuing students' cultural identities and incorporating their experiences into the curriculum to make learning more relevant and meaningful (Gay, 2018; Göransson & Nilholm, 2014). For example, integrating literature, history, and examples from diverse cultures can help students see their own identities reflected in the learning material, while also exposing them to new perspectives. This approach not only enriches the educational experience but also promotes mutual respect and understanding among students from different cultural backgrounds (Norwich, 2013).

Despite its many benefits, implementing a holistic approach to inclusive education is not without challenges. Teachers often face significant obstacles, such as large class sizes, limited resources, and insufficient professional training, which can hinder their ability to effectively address the diverse needs of their students (Florian & Spratt, 2013; Hehir et al., 2016). Additionally, systemic barriers, including rigid curricula, standardized testing practices, and inadequate policy support, can limit the flexibility needed to adopt holistic and inclusive strategies. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive effort involving educators, policymakers, and communities (Waitoller & Artiles, 2013). Investments in teacher training, infrastructure, and resources are essential for enabling schools to adopt and sustain holistic practices that promote inclusivity (Westwood, 2018).

The role of policy and leadership in supporting the holistic approach to inclusive education cannot be overstated. Governments and educational authorities play a pivotal role in creating an enabling environment for inclusive practices by enacting policies that prioritize equity and diversity (Slee, 2018). For example, the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4) emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education for all, underscoring the global commitment to ensuring that no child is left behind (UNESCO, 2020). At the school level, leadership that fosters a culture of inclusivity and provides support for teachers to implement holistic strategies is equally critical (Booth & Ainscow, 2011). Principals and administrators can facilitate professional development opportunities, allocate resources effectively, and

encourage collaboration among staff to create a unified vision for inclusive education (Ainscow et al., 2006).

METHOD

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to investigate the effectiveness of a holistic approach in addressing the diversity of elementary school students' characteristics within inclusive education settings. Quantitative data were collected through pre- and post-intervention assessments measuring academic performance, engagement, and social integration of students in inclusive classrooms. A sample of 150 elementary school students, representing diverse abilities and backgrounds, was selected using stratified random sampling. The intervention involved implementing a holistic teaching framework that incorporated differentiated instruction, collaborative teaching strategies, and student-centered learning activities over a six-month period (Tomlinson, 2017). Qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with teachers, focus group discussions with students, and classroom observations to capture in-depth perspectives on the implementation and impact of the holistic approach (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). Data analysis combined statistical techniques for quantitative results and thematic coding for qualitative insights, providing a comprehensive understanding of the approach's effectiveness.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study reveal that implementing a holistic approach in inclusive education positively impacts elementary school students with diverse characteristics. Quantitative findings indicate significant improvements in students' academic performance, with mean test scores increasing by 22% after the intervention period ($p < 0.05$). Engagement levels, measured through participation rates and attendance, improved by 18%, while social integration scores, assessed using peer interaction scales, increased by 25%. These results resonate with Hattie's (2017) meta-analysis, which emphasizes that visible learning strategies significantly enhance academic outcomes when combined with inclusive practices.

Qualitative data analysis further supports these results. Teachers reported that differentiated instruction and collaborative teaching allowed them to better address individual student needs, while student-centered methodologies encouraged active participation and self-confidence among learners. Classroom observations showed noticeable growth in students' collaborative skills, particularly in group tasks and peer support activities. Focus group discussions highlighted students' increased sense of belonging and reduced feelings of isolation, especially among students with learning disabilities or socio-cultural differences. This is consistent with Darling-Hammond and Cook-Harvey's (2018) findings on the role of positive school climate in promoting equity and well-being.

The findings of this study underscore the transformative potential of a holistic approach in inclusive education, offering valuable insights into how diverse elementary school students can benefit academically, socially, and emotionally. By focusing on differentiated instruction, collaborative teaching, and student-centered methodologies, the study demonstrates a multifaceted strategy to cater to students with varied abilities and backgrounds. These results are particularly relevant in light of the growing emphasis on equity in education, where the need to address the individuality of each learner has become paramount (Booth & Ainscow, 2016).

Differentiated instruction emerged as a cornerstone of the holistic approach, enabling teachers to tailor lessons to meet the unique needs of each student. This strategy allows for varying levels of complexity, ensuring that all students can access the curriculum at their developmental level. Teachers in this study reported that differentiation not only increased student engagement but also reduced behavioral issues by providing appropriately challenging tasks for all learners. For instance, advanced learners were offered extension activities to deepen their understanding, while students needing additional support received scaffolded tasks. This approach aligns with Tomlinson's (2017) model of differentiation, which emphasizes flexibility in content, process, and product to meet diverse learning needs. Similar perspectives are also found in Mitchell's (2014) evidence-based strategies for inclusive education.

Another significant finding was the role of collaborative teaching in creating an inclusive environment. Teachers who worked in pairs or teams noted that their combined expertise allowed for more dynamic and effective lesson delivery. Collaborative teaching also facilitated better classroom

management and enabled a division of responsibilities, such as one teacher leading instruction while the other provided individualized support. This model fosters a sense of shared ownership and accountability for all students, which is crucial in inclusive settings. Research by Florian and Spratt (2013) similarly highlights the benefits of collaboration, noting that co-teaching promotes professional growth and enhances the quality of education. Furthermore, Hornby (2015) argues that inclusive special education requires new theoretical frameworks that recognize the value of teacher collaboration.

Student-centered methodologies also played a vital role in the success of the holistic approach. By prioritizing students' active participation in their learning journey, these methods fostered autonomy, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills. Students were encouraged to set personal goals, reflect on their progress, and participate in decision-making processes within the classroom. These practices not only enhanced academic outcomes but also contributed to students' emotional and social development. The sense of agency that emerged from student-centered learning empowered students to become more confident and resilient, qualities that are essential for lifelong learning (Sharma & Salend, 2016).

The improvement in social integration observed in this study further highlights the importance of holistic strategies in inclusive education. Peer interaction and collaboration were central to fostering a sense of community among students. Activities such as group projects, peer tutoring, and cooperative learning tasks helped break down barriers between students of different abilities and backgrounds. This not only enhanced social cohesion but also cultivated empathy and mutual respect among peers. These findings are consistent with Vygotsky's (1978) theory of social constructivism, which posits that learning is a social process enriched by interactions with others. Similarly, Slee (2018) critiques exclusionary practices and reinforces the importance of authentic inclusive education models.

The positive outcomes of the holistic approach extend beyond individual students to the broader classroom dynamic. Teachers reported that the inclusive practices created a more harmonious and supportive learning environment, where students felt valued and respected. This atmosphere of inclusivity contributed to a decrease in instances of bullying and exclusion, as students developed a stronger understanding of diversity and inclusion. The findings align with UNESCO's (2020) advocacy for inclusive education as a means to promote social cohesion and equity within communities.

CONCLUSION

The holistic approach to inclusive education represents a powerful framework for addressing the diverse characteristics of elementary school students. By integrating academic, social, and emotional dimensions, this strategy fosters a supportive and adaptable learning environment that benefits all learners. While challenges remain, the findings highlight the potential for inclusive practices to transform classrooms into spaces of equity, empathy, and excellence. Policymakers, educators, and communities must work together to sustain and scale these practices, ensuring that every child has the opportunity to thrive.

REFERENCES

- Ainscow, M., Booth, T., & Dyson, A. (2006). *Improving schools, developing inclusion*. Routledge.
- Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2011). *The index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools*. Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE).
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Cook-Harvey, C. M. (2018). *Educating the whole child: Improving school climate to support student success*. Learning Policy Institute.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405-432.
- Ekins, A. (2016). *Reconsidering inclusive education: The philosophies of inclusion and their implications for mainstream secondary schools*. Routledge.
- Florian, L., & Spratt, J. (2013). Enacting inclusion: A framework for interrogating inclusive practice. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 28(2), 119-135.

- Forlin, C. (2012). *Future directions for inclusive teacher education: An international perspective*. Routledge.
- Friend, M., Cook, L., Hurley-Chamberlain, D., & Shamberger, C. (2010). Co-teaching: An illustration of the complexity of collaboration in special education. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 20(1), 9-27.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Göransson, K., & Nilholm, C. (2014). Conceptual diversities and empirical shortcomings – A critical analysis of research on inclusive education. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 29(3), 265–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2014.933545>
- Hattie, J. (2017). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning*. Routledge.
- Hehir, T., Grindal, T., Freeman, B., Lamoreau, R., Borquaye, Y., & Burke, S. (2016). *A summary of the evidence on inclusive education*. Abt Associates.
- Hornby, G. (2015). Inclusive special education: Development of a new theory for the education of children with special educational needs and disabilities. *British Journal of Special Education*, 42(3), 234–256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8578.12101>
- Jordan, A., Schwartz, E., & McGhie-Richmond, D. (2009). Preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(4), 535–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.02.010>
- Loreman, T. (2017). Pedagogy for inclusive education. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.148>
- Mitchell, D. (2014). *What really works in special and inclusive education: Using evidence-based teaching strategies* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Norwich, B. (2013). *Addressing tensions and dilemmas in inclusive education: Living with uncertainty*. Routledge.
- OECD. (2019). *Education at a glance 2019: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/f8d7880d-en>
- ooth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2016). *The Index for Inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools* (4th ed.). Bristol: Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE).
- Sharma, U., & Salend, S. J. (2016). Teaching students with disabilities in inclusive classrooms: A framework for general educators. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 39(2), 1–23.
- Sharma, U., & Sokal, L. (2016). Can teachers' self-reported efficacy, concerns, and attitudes predict their actual inclusive classroom practices? *Australasian Journal of Special Education*, 40(1), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jse.2016.1>
- Slee, R. (2018). Defining the scope of inclusive education: Thinking globally. *Prospects*, 46(1), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-018-9429-6>
- Slee, R. (2018). Inclusive education isn't dead, it just smells funny. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315562562>
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2017). *How to differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms* (3rd ed.). ASCD.
- UNESCO. (2020). *Inclusion and education: All means all*. UNESCO.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Waitoller, F. R., & Artiles, A. J. (2013). A decade of professional development research for inclusive education: A critical review and notes for a research program. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(3), 319–356. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654313483906>
- Westwood, P. (2018). *Inclusive and adaptive teaching: Meeting the challenge of diversity in the classroom*. Routledge.