

Human Resource Performance Management Strategies to Enhance Employee Retention Through Job Satisfaction

Diana Fatmawati^{1*}, Izzuddin Nazmi², Nurul Falah³

^{1,3} Program Studi Manajemen, Universitas Bumigora, Mataram, Indonesia
² Management, Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Pahang, Malaysia

**Corresponding Author: dianafatmawati89@gmail.com*

Received: 11/01/2025 | Revised: 20/01/2025 | Accepted: 30/03/2025 | Published: 19/04/2025

Abstract: Human resource (HR) performance management plays a strategic role in improving employee retention through job satisfaction. This study aims to analyze effective performance management strategies in creating a work environment that supports employee satisfaction, thus reducing turnover rates. The method used in this research is a quantitative approach with a survey conducted on employees from various industries. The findings of the study indicate that factors such as a fair performance evaluation system, performance-based rewards, training and development, and good organizational communication have a positive impact on job satisfaction. High job satisfaction directly affects employee loyalty and reduces the intention to change jobs. Therefore, organizations need to implement holistic performance management strategies that focus on employee well-being to improve workforce retention. The implications of this study emphasize the importance of managerial roles in creating effective and sustainable performance policies to maintain workforce stability in the long term.

Keywords: *performance management, HR, job satisfaction, employee retention, organizational strategy*

How to Cite: Fatmawati, D., Nazmi, I. & Falah, N. (2025). Human Resource Performance Management Strategies to Enhance Employee Retention Through Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 3(1), 26–32. <https://doi.org/10.70716/ecoma.v3i1.137>

INTRODUCTION

Human resources (HR) constitute an organization's primary asset, playing a crucial role in determining corporate sustainability and competitiveness (Amelyawati et al., 2023; Malik et al., 2021). In an increasingly competitive business environment, employee retention poses a significant challenge for organizations seeking to maintain workforce stability and reduce turnover costs (Febrian et al., 2024; Park & Johnson, 2019). High turnover rates can undermine productivity, disrupt organizational culture, and increase recruitment expenses (Khan et al., 2020). One of the main factors influencing employee retention is job satisfaction, which can be achieved through the implementation of effective performance management strategies (Sukamto et al., 2024; DeNisi & Murphy, 2017).

Performance management is a systematic process ensuring that individuals and teams operate optimally to achieve organizational goals (Dewi & Mashar, 2019; Armstrong & Taylor, 2023). This process encompasses planning, monitoring, evaluating, and improving employee performance through feedback mechanisms, performance-based rewards, and continuous development programs (Baker et al., 2020). When



*This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license.
Copyright © 2025, The Author(s).*

effectively implemented, performance management fosters a positive work environment, enhances motivation, and improves employee satisfaction and retention (Tarjo, 2019; Bhattacharya & Mukherjee, 2021).

A fair and transparent evaluation system is a critical component of performance management. Objective performance assessments motivate employees to perform better and enhance their sense of being valued by the organization (Retnowati & Putra, 2023; Varma & Budhwar, 2020). Similarly, performance-based reward systems positively affect job satisfaction by recognizing and incentivizing high-performing employees (Astuti & Panggabean, 2014; Kim & Holzer, 2016). These mechanisms cultivate a culture of meritocracy and reduce employees' inclination to seek alternative employment opportunities (Nasution & Musnadi, 2018).

Training and development initiatives also play a pivotal role in strengthening job satisfaction and employee retention (Noorcahyo, 2025; Aprianti et al., 2025). Continuous learning opportunities increase employees' confidence, competencies, and perceived career advancement potential within the organization (Andayani & Hirawati, 2021; Hafeez & Akbar, 2015). Empirical evidence shows that organizations investing in employee development experience higher retention rates than those neglecting human capital enhancement (Utami & Dwiatmadja, 2020; Jyoti & Rani, 2019). Moreover, organizational communication is essential for creating a supportive environment that reinforces satisfaction and loyalty (Maulidiyah, 2020; Sahi et al., 2020). Open, two-way communication channels between management and employees facilitate constructive feedback and foster engagement in decision-making processes (Gutama, 2010; Ahmed et al., 2018).

Studies reveal that companies with effective performance management systems report lower turnover rates and higher job satisfaction levels (Sukamto et al., 2024; Latorre et al., 2016). This positive correlation underscores that performance management, when integrated with employee well-being initiatives, enhances both satisfaction and retention (Fitrianto, 2020; Alshaabani et al., 2021). Consequently, organizations should implement holistic HR strategies that balance performance objectives with psychological well-being (Guest, 2017; Meyer et al., 2019).

The current study aims to analyze human resource performance management strategies in enhancing employee retention through job satisfaction. It seeks to identify key factors contributing to job satisfaction and how companies can optimize performance management systems to reduce turnover rates. Through quantitative survey analysis, this research contributes to the growing literature on sustainable HR practices, providing managerial insights for developing effective, fair, and motivating performance management frameworks (Millena & Mon, 2022; Ruswati et al., 2024).

This study employs a quantitative survey method targeting employees across various industries. Data were collected through a questionnaire designed to measure levels of job satisfaction, perceptions of performance management systems, and employees' intentions to remain within their organizations. Data analysis was conducted using statistical techniques to identify relationships among these variables. By understanding the factors that influence job satisfaction and employee retention, organizations can design more effective strategies for managing their human resources. Performance management that focuses on employee well-being enhances productivity and fosters a positive and sustainable workplace culture. Therefore, this study is anticipated to contribute significantly to developing human resource management theory and practice in Indonesia.



RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a quantitative approach to analyze the influence of human resource management (HRM) performance strategies on employee retention through job satisfaction. The research design is a correlational survey to identify the relationships among the variables under investigation. The population of this study consists of employees from various industries in Indonesia, with the sample selected using purposive sampling techniques. The sample selection criteria include employees who have worked for at least one year at their current companies to ensure they possess sufficient experience in evaluating workplace performance management systems.

Data collection was conducted through questionnaires distributed both online and offline. The questionnaire comprises several sections, namely respondents' demographic data, the performance management systems implemented in their companies, levels of job satisfaction, and tendencies regarding employee retention. A five-point Likert scale was utilized to measure respondents' perceptions of each variable examined. The validity and reliability of the research instrument were tested using construct validity assessments and Cronbach's Alpha reliability tests to ensure the consistency and dependability of the collected data.

Data analysis was performed using inferential statistical methods with the assistance of SPSS software or Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) techniques, employing tools such as AMOS or SmartPLS. Pearson correlation tests were utilized to examine the relationships among variables. At the same time, multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to identify the effects of performance management strategies on job satisfaction and employee retention. Path analysis was also applied to determine whether job satisfaction mediates the relationship between performance management and employee retention.

In addition to quantitative analysis, this study incorporated a descriptive approach to gain deeper insights into employees' perceptions of the performance management policies implemented within their organizations. The descriptive data were analyzed to identify trends and patterns related to job satisfaction and employee retention. Consequently, the research findings offer numerical results and qualitative insights regarding the effectiveness of the performance management strategies adopted by organizations.

This study acknowledges several limitations, including restrictions in sample size, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Moreover, the data collected were self-reported, potentially introducing perception bias among respondents. Therefore, future research should employ longitudinal methods to observe the long-term impact of performance management strategies and incorporate qualitative methods, such as in-depth interviews, to achieve a more comprehensive understanding.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on 320 valid responses from employees across manufacturing (40%), service (35%), and technology sectors (25%), the empirical results indicate a strong association between human resource performance management practices and job satisfaction. Correlation analysis confirms a high positive relationship between performance management variables and job satisfaction ($r = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that improvements in performance systems are closely followed by changes in employee attitudes.



Regression results reveal that not all components of performance management exert equal influence. Fair performance evaluation emerges as the strongest predictor of job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.41$, $p < 0.01$). This finding indicates that employees prioritize procedural justice over material outcomes. When appraisal mechanisms are perceived as transparent and competency-based, employees report higher satisfaction regardless of sectoral background. This pattern signals that legitimacy of the evaluation process outweighs short-term rewards.

Training and development shows the second strongest effect ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.05$). This suggests that employees interpret structured development opportunities as organizational commitment to their long-term growth. However, the effect size implies that training functions more as a stabilizing factor than a direct motivator. Its contribution becomes meaningful when employees perceive clear links between training content and job demands.

Performance-based rewards demonstrate a positive but comparatively weaker influence on job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.05$). This indicates that financial and non-financial incentives alone are insufficient to sustain satisfaction in the absence of fair evaluation and development clarity. Rewards appear to reinforce satisfaction rather than generate it independently.

Table 1. Regression Results of Performance Management Variables on Job Satisfaction

Variable	Beta (β)	p-value
Fair Performance Evaluation	0.41	<0.01
Training and Development	0.35	<0.05
Performance-Based Rewards	0.29	<0.05

Path analysis further demonstrates that job satisfaction mediates the relationship between performance management and employee retention, with a mediation coefficient of 0.52. This result confirms that performance management practices do not directly reduce turnover intention. Instead, they operate through employees' evaluative experiences of their work environment. Retention is therefore a psychological outcome rather than an administrative one. The mediation structure can be illustrated as follows:



This structure indicates that even well-designed performance systems fail to retain employees if they do not translate into perceived satisfaction. Employees remain not because policies exist, but because those policies are experienced as fair, developmental, and meaningful.

These findings clarify why organizations that emphasize targets and incentives without addressing evaluative fairness often experience persistent turnover. Performance management effectiveness depends on internal coherence among appraisal, development, and reward mechanisms. Fragmented implementation weakens satisfaction and, consequently, retention.

Overall, the results confirm that job satisfaction serves as the central mechanism through which performance management strategies influence employee retention. Organizations that align evaluation fairness, development opportunities, and reward systems within a transparent communication framework are more likely to sustain workforce stability.

CONCLUSION

This study affirms that performance management strategies are crucial in enhancing job satisfaction, ultimately contributing to employee retention. The analysis reveals that a fair performance evaluation system, continuous training and development programs, and performance-based rewards significantly impact employees' job satisfaction levels. Job satisfaction is demonstrated to act as a mediating variable linking performance management strategies to employee retention. Employees who are satisfied with their company's performance management system tend to exhibit greater loyalty and are less likely to seek employment opportunities elsewhere. Therefore, companies must implement performance management policies that are more transparent and grounded in the principles of fairness to improve employee well-being and reduce turnover rates.

Furthermore, effective organizational communication also strengthens the relationship between performance management strategies and job satisfaction. Open communication between management and employees can foster a positive work environment, enhance employee engagement, and reinforce a sense of belonging to the organization. The managerial implications of this study suggest that companies should not only focus on performance evaluations and rewards but also pay close attention to employee development and robust internal communication. Organizations can cultivate a healthier and more productive workplace culture by adopting a comprehensive performance management strategy.

Despite offering valuable insights, this study acknowledges several limitations. It employs a cross-sectional method, which does not capture the long-term effects of performance management strategies on employee retention. Additionally, the quantitative approach only depicts the relationships among variables without delving into the subjective factors influencing employees' decisions to remain with a company. Therefore, future research should adopt longitudinal and qualitative approaches better to understand employees' experiences with corporate performance management systems. With broader and more in-depth research, companies can design more effective and sustainable human resource policies to maintain workforce stability.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, I., Ismail, W. K. W., & Amin, S. M. (2018). Employee motivation and performance: Mediating role of job satisfaction in developing countries. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(5), 435–446. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v8-i5/4185>



Alshaabani, A., Naz, F., Magda, R., & Rudnák, I. (2021). Impact of organizational culture and leadership style on employee engagement and job satisfaction. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7778. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147778>

Amelyawati, F., Herachwati, N., & Nadia, F. N. D. (2023). Meningkatkan daya saing melalui manajemen sumber daya manusia (MSDM) sebagai strategi peningkatan kinerja. *Briliant: Jurnal Riset dan Konseptual*, 8(1), 22–37.

Andayani, T. B. N., & Hirawati, H. (2021). Pengaruh pelatihan dan pengembangan SDM terhadap kinerja karyawan PT Pos Indonesia cabang Kota Magelang. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Ubhara*, 3(2), 11–19.

Aprianti, D. E., Mahrinasari, M. S., & Hayati, K. (2025). Pengaruh pelatihan dan pengembangan terhadap kepuasan kerja. *Jurnal Ilmiah Permas: STIKES Kendal*, 15(1), 189–198.

Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2023). *Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice* (17th ed.). Kogan Page.

Astuti, D. P., & Panggabean, M. S. (2014). Pengaruh kompensasi terhadap retensi karyawan melalui kepuasan kerja dan komitmen afektif. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Pemasaran Jasa*, 7(1), 199–217.

Baker, T., Smith, R., & Adler, H. (2020). Designing effective performance management systems. *Human Resource Development Review*, 19(3), 263–287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484320927073>

Bhattacharya, S., & Mukherjee, P. (2021). Performance management and employee engagement: A study of HR practices. *Management and Labour Studies*, 46(4), 399–417. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X211040799>

Dewi, I. K., & Mashar, A. (2019). *Nilai-nilai profetik dalam kepemimpinan modern pada manajemen kinerja*. Gre Publishing.

Febrian, W. D., Ansori, K., Roza, N., Syafri, M., Susanto, S., & Lubis, F. M. (2024). Strategi manajemen talent untuk peningkatan kinerja dan retensi karyawan. *Jurnal Review Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*, 7(2), 4069–4077.

Fitrianto, I. (2020). Pengaruh motivasi, disiplin, dan kepuasan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan. *YUME: Journal of Management*, 3(1).

Guest, D. E. (2017). Human resource management and employee well-being: Towards a new analytic framework. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(1), 22–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12139>

Gutama, T. A. (2010). Peran komunikasi dalam organisasi. *Jurnal Sosiologi Dilema*, 25(2), 107–113.

Hafeez, U., & Akbar, W. (2015). Impact of training on employees performance. *Business Management and Strategy*, 6(1), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.5296/bms.v6i1.7804>

Jayanti, K. T., & Wati, L. N. (2019). Pengaruh gaya kepemimpinan terhadap kinerja karyawan dan loyalitas. *Jurnal Ekobis: Ekonomi Bisnis dan Manajemen*, 9(1), 71–88.

Khan, S., Khan, I., & Safdar, B. (2020). Employee turnover intention and job satisfaction: The moderating role of organizational commitment. *Asian Journal of Business Research*, 10(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.14707/ajbr.200078>

Kim, S., & Holzer, M. (2016). Public employees and performance appraisal: A study of antecedents and consequences. *Public Personnel Management*, 45(2), 148–170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026016644621>

Latorre, F., Guest, D. E., Ramos, J., & Gracia, F. J. (2016). High commitment HR practices, the employment relationship and job performance: A test of a mediation model. *European Management Journal*, 34(4), 328–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2016.05.005>

Malik, P., Ahmad, A., & Hussain, S. (2021). Human capital and organizational performance: The role of HR analytics. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness*, 8(3), 257–274. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-11-2020-0211>

Maulidiyah, N. N. (2020). Peran budaya organisasi dalam meningkatkan kepuasan kerja serta dampaknya terhadap kinerja. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, 17(2), 273–286.

Meyer, J. P., Stanley, L. J., & Parfyonova, N. M. (2019). Employee commitment in context: The nature and implications of commitment profiles. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 110(A), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.09.003>

Millena, R., & Mon, M. D. (2022). Analisis pengaruh kompensasi pelatihan dan pengembangan terhadap retensi karyawan. *Jurnal Wawasan Manajemen*, 10(3), 157–166.

Nasution, E. H., & Musnadi, S. (2018). Faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi kepuasan kerja dan dampaknya terhadap kinerja pegawai. *Jurnal Magister Manajemen*, 2(1), 123–134.

Noorcahyo, D. (2025). Pengaruh strategi kepemimpinan, pelatihan dan kepuasan kerja terhadap kekuatan perusahaan. *Jurnal Greenation Sosial dan Politik*, 3(1), 33–43.*

Retnowati, E., & Putra, A. R. (2023). Pengaruh sistem penilaian kinerja dan kepuasan kerja terhadap turnover intention. *Jurnal Ilmiah Satyagraha*, 6(2), 215–230.

Ruswati, A. S., Suhara, A., Mayasari, N., & Rohmah, S. (2024). Peran psikologi organisasi dalam meningkatkan kepuasan kerja dan loyalitas karyawan. *Jurnal Psikologi dan Konseling West Science*, 2(4), 282–292.

Sahi, G. K., Sehgal, R., & Sharma, R. (2020). Employee engagement and job satisfaction: A moderated mediation analysis. *Asian Business & Management*, 19(5), 456–482. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41291-020-00113-z>

Sukamto, D. A., Puspita, N. A., Roihana, H., & Chamariyah, C. (2024). Pengaruh manajemen kinerja SDM terhadap retensi karyawan melalui kepuasan kerja. *Jurnal EMA*, 9(2), 163–171.

Tarjo, T. (2019). Pengaruh motivasi kerja dan kepuasan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan. *JAMIN: Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen dan Inovasi Bisnis*, 2(1), 53–65.

Utami, V. A. V. W. R., & Dwiatmadja, C. (2020). Pengaruh pengembangan karir, motivasi kerja dan kompensasi terhadap loyalitas kerja karyawan. *International Journal of Social Science and Business*, 4(2), 267–275.

Varma, A., & Budhwar, P. (2020). Performance management systems: An international perspective. *Routledge*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429293655>